



ITEM NO. 2

STAFF REPORT

OVERSIGHT BOARD

DATE: December 13, 2012
TO: OVERSIGHT BOARD OF THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY FOR THE CITY OF COLTON
FROM: ROD FOSTER, CITY MANAGER
PREPARED BY: BONNIE JOHNSON, MANAGEMENT SERVICES DIRECTOR
SUBJECT: PUBLIC COMMENT SESSION FOR REVIEW OF THE NON-HOUSING FUND PURSUANT TO HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE SECTION 34179.6(b)

RECOMMENDED ACTION

It is recommended that the Oversight Board convene a public comment session regarding the Due Diligence Review of the Non-Housing Fund, pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 34179.6(b).

GOAL STATEMENT

This action supports the Oversight Board's goal to be in full compliance with State laws, rules and procedures.

BACKGROUND

AB 1X 26 (the "Dissolution Act") dissolved the Redevelopment Agency for the City of Colton ("Agency") as of February 1, 2012. The City of Colton ("RDA Successor Agency") is the successor agency to the Agency. On June 27, 2012, as part of the Fiscal Year 2012-2013 State Budget package, the Legislature passed and the Governor signed AB 1484, the primary purpose of which is to make technical and substantive amendments to the Dissolution Act based on experience to-date at the State and local level in implementing that act. As a budget "trailer bill", AB 1484 took immediate effect upon signature of the Governor.

AB 1484 requires each successor agency to employ a licensed accountant, approved by the County of San Bernardino Auditor Controller ("CAC"), to conduct a due diligence review (the "Review") regarding the Non-Housing Funds ("NHF") to determine the unobligated balances available for transfer to taxing entities pursuant to Health and Safety Code sections 34179.5(c)(1) through 34179.5(c)(3) and sections 34179.5(c)(5) through 34179.5(c)(6).

ISSUE/ANALYSIS

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 34179.6(a), the RDA Successor Agency is required to conduct a due diligence review of the NHF which covers both pre-dissolution and post-dissolution periods (between January 1, 2011 and June 30, 2012) to determine any unobligated balances available for transfer to the taxing entities during Fiscal Year 2012-2013. The Review as it relates to the NHF must be completed by December 15, 2012, and submit the results of the Review to the State Department of Finance (“DOF”), the California State Controller’s Office (“SCO”) and the CAC by December 15, 2012.

The Successor Agency retained the services of Lance Soll & Lunghard, LLP, (“LSL”) a CAC approved licensed, certified public accountants, to conduct the Review of the NHF to determine the unobligated balances available for transfer to taxing entities. A list of procedures for conducting the review are listed on Attachment A, contained within the attached Review prepared by LSL. The Review required, at minimum, the following:

- (1) The dollar value of assets transferred from the former redevelopment agency to the successor agency on or about February 1, 2012;
- (2) The dollar value of assets and cash and cash equivalents transferred after January 1, 2011, through June 30, 2012, by the redevelopment agency or the successor agency to the city that formed the redevelopment agency and the purpose of each transfer. The Review shall provide documentation of any enforceable obligation that required the transfer.
- (3) The dollar value of any cash or cash equivalents transferred after January 1, 2011, through June 30, 2012, by the redevelopment agency or the successor agency to any other public agency or private party and the purpose of each transfer. The Review shall provide documentation of any enforceable obligation that required the transfer.
- (4) The Review includes expenditures and revenue accounting information and identifies any transfers and funding sources for the 2010-11 and 2011-12 fiscal years that reconcile balances, assets, and liabilities of the successor agency on June 30, 2012 to those reported to the SCO for the 2009-10 fiscal year.

As required by Health and Safety Code section 34179(b), the Oversight Board to the RDA Successor Agency (“Oversight Board”) shall convene a public comment session to take place at least five (5) business days before the Oversight Board holds the approval vote for the Review of the NHF. By October 15, 2012, the Oversight Board shall review, approve, and transmit to the DOF, SCO and the CAC, the determination of the amount of cash and cash equivalents that are available for disbursement to taxing entities as determined by the Review. The Oversight Board is scheduled to conduct its consideration of the Review at its regular meeting on October 11, 2012.

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 34179.6(c), the Oversight Board may adjust any amount provided in the Review of the NHF to reflect additional information and analysis. The Oversight Board may request from the RDA Successor Agency any materials it deems necessary

to assist in its review and approval of the determination. The Oversight Board can also authorize the Successor Agency to retain the restricted funds, the non-cash assets, and the cash balances that are contractually committed or needed for items to be placed on the Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule during the fiscal year.

Upon receipt of the Review, DOF may adjust the amounts determined to be available for allocation to the taxing entities based on its analysis and information provided by the Successor Agency and others. The DOF is to complete its review no later than April 1, 2013 for the NHF. The DOF is required to provide the Successor Agency and the Oversight Board with an explanation of the basis for overturning or modifying any findings or determinations of the Oversight Board.

FISCAL IMPACT

No funds are involved in scheduling the public comment session regarding the Due Diligence Review of the Non- Housing Fund.

ALTERNATIVE

The Oversight Board may wish to consider the following alternative:

1. Provide alternative direction to staff.

ATTACHMENT

1. Due Diligence Review of the Non-Housing Fund



**Successor Agency of the Former
Redevelopment Agency of the City Colton**

**Due Diligence Review
of the Other Redevelopment Agency Funds
Pursuant to Sections 34179.5(c)(1) through 34179.5(c)(6)
of Assembly Bill No. 1484 of 2012**

Lance Soll & Lunghard, LLP

Orange County
Silicon Valley
Temecula Valley

www.lslcpas.com

Successor Agency of the Former
Redevelopment Agency of the City of Colton

Due Diligence Review
of the Other Redevelopment Agency Funds
Pursuant to Sections 34179.5(c)(1) through 34179.5(c)(6)
of Assembly Bill No. 1484 of 2012



CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

- ♦ Brandon W. Burrows, CPA
- ♦ David E. Hale, CPA, CFP
A Professional Corporation
- ♦ Donald G. Slater, CPA
- ♦ Richard K. Kikuchi, CPA
- ♦ Susan F. Matz, CPA
- ♦ Shelly K. Jackley, CPA
- ♦ Bryan S. Gruber, CPA
- ♦ Deborah A. Harper, CPA

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING
AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

To the Successor Agency of the Former
Redevelopment Agency for the City of Colton
City of Colton, California

We have performed the procedures enumerated in Attachment A for the Other Redevelopment Agency Funds, which were agreed to by the California State Controller's Office and the State of California Department of Finance (State Agencies) solely to assist you in ensuring that the dissolved redevelopment agency is complying with Assembly Bill 1484, Chapter 26, Section 17's amendment to health and safety code 34179.5. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. Management of the successor agency is responsible for providing all the information obtained in performing these procedures. The sufficiency of these procedures is solely the responsibility of those parties specified in the report. Consequently, we make no representations regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

As stated above, the scope of this engagement was limited to performing the procedures identified in Attachment A, which specified the "List of Procedures for the Due Diligence Review" obtained from the California Department of Finance Website.

The results of the procedures performed are identified in Attachment B1 through B11.

We were not engaged to and did not conduct an audit, the objective of which would be the expression of a certified opinion as to the appropriateness of the results of the procedures performed. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to the Successor Agency.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Successor Agency Oversight Board, the Successor Agency and the applicable State Agencies, and is not intended to be, and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties. This restriction is not intended to limit distribution of this report, which is a matter of public record.

Brea, California
December 3, 2012

List of Procedures for Due Diligence Review of the Other Redevelopment Agency Funds

1. Obtain from the Successor Agency a listing of all assets that were transferred from the former redevelopment agency to the Successor Agency on February 1, 2012. Agree the amounts on this listing to account balances established in the accounting records of the Successor Agency. Identify in the Agreed-Upon Procedures (AUP) report the amount of the assets transferred to the Successor Agency as of that date.
2. If the State Controller's Office has completed its review of transfers required under both sections 34167.5 and 34178.8 and issued its report regarding such review, attach a copy of that report as an exhibit to the AUP report. If this has not yet occurred, perform the following procedures:
 - a. Obtain a listing prepared by the Successor Agency of transfers (excluding payments for goods and services) from the former redevelopment agency to the city, county, or city and county that formed the redevelopment agency for the period from January 1, 2011 through January 31, 2012. For each transfer, the Successor Agency should describe the purpose of the transfer and describe in what sense the transfer was required by one of the Agency's enforceable obligations or other legal requirements. Provide this listing as an attachment to the AUP report.
 - b. Obtain a listing prepared by the Successor Agency of transfers (excluding payments for goods and services) from the Successor Agency to the city, county, or city and county that formed the redevelopment agency for the period from February 1, 2012 through June 30, 2012. For each transfer, the Successor Agency should describe the purpose of the transfer and describe in what sense the transfer was required by one of the Agency's enforceable obligations or other legal requirements. Provide this listing as an attachment to the AUP report.
 - c. For each transfer, obtain the legal document that formed the basis for the enforceable obligation that required any transfer. Note in the AUP report the absence of any such legal document or the absence of language in the document that required the transfer.
3. If the State Controller's Office has completed its review of transfers required under both Sections 34167.5 and 34178.8 and issued its report regarding such review, attach a copy of that report as an exhibit to the AUP report. If this has not yet occurred, perform the following procedures:
 - a. Obtain a listing prepared by the Successor Agency of transfers (excluding payments for goods and services) from the former redevelopment agency to any other public agency or to private parties for the period from January 1, 2011 through January 31, 2012. For each transfer, the Successor Agency should describe the purpose of the transfer and describe in what sense the transfer was required by one of the Agency's enforceable obligations or other legal requirements. Provide this listing as an attachment to the AUP report.
 - b. Obtain a listing prepared by the Successor Agency of transfers (excluding payments for goods and services) from the Successor Agency to any other public agency or private parties for the period from February 1, 2012 through June 30, 2012. For each transfer, the Successor Agency should describe the purpose of the transfer and describe in what sense the transfer was required by one of the Agency's enforceable obligations or other legal requirements. Provide this listing as an attachment to the AUP report.
 - c. For each transfer, obtain the legal document that formed the basis for the enforceable obligation that required any transfer. Note in the AUP report the absence of any such legal document or the absence of language in the document that required the transfer.

List of Procedures for Due Diligence Review for the Other Redevelopment Agency Funds (Continued)

4. Perform the following procedures:
 - a. Obtain from the Successor Agency a summary of the financial transactions of the Redevelopment Agency and the Successor Agency in the format set forth in the attached schedule for the fiscal periods indicated in the schedule. For purposes of this summary, the financial transactions should be presented using the modified accrual basis of accounting. End of year balances for capital assets (in total) and long-term liabilities (in total) should be presented at the bottom of this summary schedule for information purposes.
 - b. Ascertain that for each period presented, the total of revenues, expenditures, and transfers accounts fully for the changes in equity from the previous fiscal period.
 - c. Compare amounts in the schedule relevant to the fiscal year ended June 30, 2010 to the state controller's report filed for the Redevelopment Agency for that period.
 - d. Compare amounts in the schedule for the other fiscal periods presented to account balances in the accounting records or other supporting schedules. Describe in the report the type of support provided for each fiscal period.
5. Obtain from the Successor Agency a listing of all assets of the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund as of June 30, 2012 for the report that is due October 1, 2012 and a listing of all assets of all other funds of the Successor Agency as of June 30, 2012 (excluding the previously reported assets of the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund) for the report that is due December 15, 2012. When this procedure is applied to the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund, the schedule attached as an exhibit will include only those assets of the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund that were held by the Successor Agency as of June 30, 2012 and will exclude all assets held by the entity that assumed the housing function previously performed by the former redevelopment agency. Agree the assets so listed to recorded balances reflected in the accounting records of the Successor Agency. The listing should be attached as an exhibit to the appropriate AUP report.
6. Obtain from the Successor Agency a listing of asset balances held on June 30, 2012 that are restricted for the following purposes:
 - a. Unspent bond proceeds:
 - i. Obtain the Successor Agency's computation of the restricted balances (e.g., total proceeds less eligible project expenditures, amounts set aside for debt service payments, etc.)
 - ii. Trace individual components of this computation to related account balances in the accounting records, or to other supporting documentation (specify in the AUP report a description of such documentation).
 - iii. Obtain from the Successor Agency a copy of the legal document that sets forth the restriction pertaining to these balances. Note in the AUP report the absence of language restricting the use of the balances that were identified by the Successor Agency as restricted.
 - b. Grant proceeds and program income that are restricted by third parties:
 - i. Obtain the Successor Agency's computation of the restricted balances (e.g., total proceeds less eligible project expenditures).
 - ii. Trace individual components of this computation to related account balances in the accounting records, or to other supporting documentation (specify in the AUP report a description of such documentation).

List of Procedures for Due Diligence Review for the Other Redevelopment Agency Funds (Continued)

- iii. Obtain from the Successor Agency a copy of the grant agreement that sets forth the restriction pertaining to these balances. Note in the AUP report the absence of language restricting the use of the balances that were identified by the Successor Agency as restricted.
 - c. Other assets considered to be legally restricted:
 - i. Obtain the Successor Agency's computation of the restricted balances (e.g., total proceeds less eligible project expenditures).
 - ii. Trace individual components of this computation to related account balances in the accounting records, or to other supporting documentation (specify in the AUP report a description of such documentation).
 - iii. Obtain from the Successor Agency a copy of the legal document that sets forth the restriction pertaining to these balances. Note in the AUP report the absence of language restricting the use of the balances that were identified by Successor the Agency as restricted.
 - d. Attach the above mentioned Successor Agency prepared schedule(s) as an exhibit to the AUP report. For each restriction identified on these schedules, indicate in the report the period of time for which the restrictions are in effect. If the restrictions are in effect until the related assets are expended for their intended purpose, this should be indicated in the report.
7. Perform the following:
- a. Obtain from the Successor Agency a listing of assets as of June 30, 2012 that are **not** liquid or otherwise available for distribution (such as capital assets, land held for resale, long-term receivables, etc.) and ascertain if the values are listed at either purchase cost (based on book value reflected in the accounting records of the Successor Agency) or market value as recently estimated by the Successor Agency.
 - b. If the assets listed at 7(A) are listed at purchase cost, trace the amounts to a previously audited financial statement (or to the accounting records of the Successor Agency) and note any differences.
 - c. For any differences noted in 7(B), inspect evidence of disposal of the asset and ascertain that the proceeds were deposited into the Successor Agency trust fund. If the differences are due to additions (this generally is not expected to occur), inspect the supporting documentation and note the circumstances.
 - d. If the assets listed at 7(A) are listed at recently estimated market value, inspect the evidence (if any) supporting the value and note the methodology used. If no evidence is available to support the value and/or methodology, note the lack of evidence.
8. Perform the following:
- a. If the Successor Agency believes that asset balances need to be retained to satisfy enforceable obligations, obtain from the Successor Agency an itemized schedule of asset balances (resources) as of June 30, 2012 that are dedicated or restricted for the funding of enforceable obligations and perform the following procedures. The schedule should identify the amount dedicated or restricted, the nature of the dedication or restriction, the specific enforceable obligation to which the dedication or restriction relates, and the language in the legal document that is associated with the enforceable obligation that specifies the dedication of existing asset balances toward payment of that obligation.
 - i. Compare all information on the schedule to the legal documents that form the basis for the dedication or restriction of the resource balance in question.

List of Procedures for Due Diligence Review for the Other Redevelopment Agency Funds (Continued)

- ii. Compare all current balances to the amounts reported in the accounting records of the Successor Agency or to an alternative computation.
 - iii. Compare the specified enforceable obligations to those that were included in the final Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule approved by the California Department of Finance.
 - iv. Attach as an exhibit to the report the listing obtained from the Successor Agency. Identify in the report any listed balances for which the Successor Agency was unable to provide appropriate restricting language in the legal document associated with the enforceable obligation.
- b. If the Successor Agency believes that future revenues together with balances dedicated or restricted to an enforceable obligation are insufficient to fund future obligation payments and thus retention of current balances is required, obtain from the Successor Agency a schedule of approved enforceable obligations that includes a projection of the annual spending requirements to satisfy each obligation and a projection of the annual revenues available to fund those requirements and perform the following procedures:
- i. Compare the enforceable obligations to those that were approved by the California Department of Finance. Procedures to accomplish this may include reviewing the letter from the California Department of Finance approving the Recognized Enforceable Obligation Payment Schedules for the six month period from January 1, 2012 through June 30, 2012 and for the six month period July 1, 2012 through December 31, 2012.
 - ii. Compare the forecasted annual spending requirements to the legal document supporting each enforceable obligation.
 - a. Obtain from the Successor Agency its assumptions relating to the forecasted annual spending requirements and disclose in the report major assumptions associated with the projections.
 - iii. For the forecasted annual revenues:
 - a. Obtain from the Successor Agency its assumptions for the forecasted annual revenues and disclose in the report major assumptions associated with the projections.
- c. If the Successor Agency believes that projected property tax revenues and other general purpose revenues to be received by the Successor Agency are insufficient to pay bond debt service payments (considering both the timing and amount of the related cash flows), obtain from the Successor Agency a schedule demonstrating this insufficiency and apply the following procedures to the information reflected in that schedule.
- i. Compare the timing and amounts of bond debt service payments to the related bond debt service schedules in the bond agreement.
 - ii. Obtain the assumptions for the forecasted property tax revenues and disclose major assumptions associated with the projections.
 - iii. Obtain the assumptions for the forecasted other general purpose revenues and disclose major assumptions associated with the projections.
- d. If procedures A, B, or C were performed, calculate the amount of current unrestricted balances necessary for retention in order to meet the enforceable obligations by performing the following procedures.

List of Procedures for Due Diligence Review for the Other Redevelopment Agency Funds (Continued)

- i. Combine the amount of identified current dedicated or restricted balances and the amount of forecasted annual revenues to arrive at the amount of total resources available to fund enforceable obligations.
 - ii. Reduce the amount of total resources available by the amount forecasted for the annual spending requirements. A negative result indicates the amount of current unrestricted balances that needs to be retained.
 - iii. Include the calculation in the AUP report.
9. If the Successor Agency believes that cash balances as of June 30, 2012 need to be retained to satisfy obligations on the Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS) for the period of July 1, 2012 through June 30, 2013, obtain a copy of the final ROPS for the period of July 1, 2012 through December 31, 2012 and a copy of the final ROPS for the period January 1, 2013 through June 30, 2013. For each obligation listed on the ROPS, the Successor Agency should add columns identifying (1) any dollar amounts of existing cash that are needed to satisfy that obligation and (2) the Successor Agency's explanation as to why the Successor Agency believes that such balances are needed to satisfy the obligation. Include this schedule as an attachment to the AUP report.
10. Include (or present) a schedule detailing the computation of the Balance Available for Allocation to Affected Taxing Entities. Amounts included in the calculation should agree to the results of the procedures performed in each section above. The schedule should also include a deduction to recognize amounts already paid to the County Auditor-Controller on July 12, 2012 as directed by the California Department of Finance. The amount of this deduction presented should be agreed to evidence of payment. The attached example summary schedule may be considered for this purpose. Separate schedules should be completed for the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund and for all other funds combined (excluding the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund).
11. Obtain a representation letter from Successor Agency management acknowledging their responsibility for the data provided to the practitioner and the data presented in the report or in any attachments to the report. Included in the representations should be an acknowledgment that management is not aware of any transfers (as defined by Section 34179.5) from either the former redevelopment agency or the Successor Agency to other parties for the period from January 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012 that have not been properly identified in the AUP report and its related exhibits. Management's refusal to sign the representation letter should be noted in the AUP report as required by attestation standards.

ATTACHMENT B1

Procedure 1
 List of Assets Transferred from the Former Redevelopment Agency to the Successor Agency
 Other Redevelopment Agency Funds
 As of February 1, 2012

Asset	Balance at 2/1/2012
Cash in Banks	\$ 18,577,483
Investments	118,906
Cash with Fiscal Agent	4,944,412
Land Held for Resale	6,973,000
Taxes Receivable	50,238
Advances to Other Funds	5,267,268
Total assets transferred:	\$ 35,931,307

Procedure 2
 Listing of Transfers (Excluding Payments for Goods and Services) to the City
 Other Redevelopment Agency Funds
 For the Period from January 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012

Describe Purpose of Transfer	Enforceable Obligation (EO)/ Other Legal Requirement (LR)	Amount	Legal Documentation Obtained? (Y/N)
<u>From former Redevelopment Agency to City for January 1, 2011 through January 31, 2012</u>			
Colton Crossing - Laurel Street overcrossing transfer from Ranch/Mill and Mt. Vernon project areas. This project is vital to improving traffic operations throughout the City.	LR	\$ 1,650,000	Y
Repayment of loan for purchase of Fly Mitigation Land in West Valley project area.	LR	1,554,033	Y
Repayment of loan balance for Rancho/Mill project area property development.	LR	199,827	Y
	Sub-total:	<u>3,403,860</u>	

From Successor Agency to City for February 1, 2012 through June 30, 2012

None to report

Total Transfers to City for 1/1/2011 through 6/30/2012: \$ 3,403,860

All the transfers listed above were also included in the Due Diligence Review of the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund.

**Listing of Transfers (Excluding Payments for Goods and Services) to Other Public Agencies or Private Parties
Other Redevelopment Agency Funds**

For the Period from January 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012

Describe Purpose of Transfer	Enforceable Obligation (EO)/ Other Legal Requirement (LR)	Amount	Legal Documentation Obtained? (Y/N)
<u>From former Redevelopment Agency to other public agencies or private parties for January 1, 2011 through January 31, 2012</u>			
Payment to San Bernardino County for statutory pass-throughs for FY 2009/10 from Rancho/Mill, Mt. Vernon, Cooley and West Valley project areas.	LR	\$ 105,496	Y
Journal entry for prior period adjustment for property tax overpayments made by County were deducted from 11/24/09 apportionment to Rancho/Mill, Downtown 2 and West Valley project areas.	LR	17,183	Y
Payment to Colton Joint Unified School District for statutory pass-throughs for FY 2010/11 from Rancho/Mill, Mt. Vernon, Cooley and Santa Ana project areas.	LR	700,370	Y
Payment to San Bernardino Valley College for statutory pass-throughs for FY 2010/11 from Rancho/Mill, Mt. Vernon, Cooley and West Valley project areas.	EO	29,110	Y
Payment to San Bernardino Valley Municipal Water District for statutory pass-throughs for FY 2010/11 from Rancho/Mill and Cooley project areas.	EO	58,083	Y
Payment to San Bernardino County for statutory pass-throughs for FY 2010/11 from Rancho/Mill, Mt. Vernon and Cooley project areas.	EO	56,425	Y
Payment to Riverside Corona Resource Conservation District for statutory pass-through for FY 2010/11 from	EO	367	Y
Payment to Inland Empire West Resource Conservation District for statutory pass-through for FY 2010/11 from West Valley project area.	EO	30	Y
Payment to West Valley Water District for statutory pass-throughs for FY 2010/11 from West Valley project area.	EO	2,167	Y
Payment to San Bernardino County Superintendent of Schools for statutory pass-throughs for FY 2010/11 from Rancho/Mill, Mt. Vernon, Cooley and West Valley project areas.	EO	4,365	Y
Sub-total:		<u>973,596</u>	

Procedure 3
 Listing of Transfers (Excluding Payments for Goods and Services) to Other Public Agencies or Private Parties
 Other Redevelopment Agency Funds
 For the Period from January 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012

Describe Purpose of Transfer	Enforceable Obligation (EO)/ Other Legal Requirement (LR)	Amount	Legal Documentation Obtained? (Y/N)
From Successor Agency to other public agencies or private parties for February 1, 2012 through June 30, 2012			
Statutory pass-through payment to San Bernardino County, San Bernardino County Superintendent of Schools, San Bernardino Valley College, Colton Joint Unified School District, Rialto Unified School District, Riverside Corona Resource Conservation District, Inland Empire West Resource Conservation District, San Bernardino Valley Municipal Water District, and West Valley Water District for FY 2011/12.	EO	1,004,166	Y
Affordable Housing- Senior Housing and Parking Lot - 34176 (e) (1)	N/A	623,483	Y
Low-Mod Housing Vacant Lots - 34176 (e) (1)	N/A	346,130	Y
Low-Mod Housing (29 Lots in 259-Mobile Home Park) - 34176 (e) (1)	N/A	536,919	Y
Advances related to the SERAF payment - 34176 (e0) (6)	EO	1,903,927	Y
Sub-total:		4,414,625	
		\$ 5,388,221	

Total Transfers to other public agencies or private parties for 1/1/2011 through 6/30/2012: \$ 5,388,221

- 10 A) Capital assets transfer was approved by the Department of Finance on the housing transfer form.
 All the transfers listed above were also included in the Due Diligence Review of the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund.

Procedure 4
 Summary of the Financial Transactions of Redevelopment Agency and Successor Agency
 All Funds
 Per schedule attached to List of Procedures for Due Diligence Review

	Redevelopment Agency 12 Months Ended 6/30/2010	Redevelopment Agency 12 Months Ended 6/30/2011	Redevelopment Agency 7 Months Ended 1/31/2012	Successor Agency 5 Months Ended 6/30/2012
Assets (modified accrual basis)				
Cash and investments	\$ 14,812,929	\$ 17,524,304	\$ 19,942,871	\$ 19,536,712
Cash and investments with trustees	5,248,932	5,270,128	6,235,800	5,406,035
Due from other governments	4,656,165	3,670,936	-	5,635,976
Due from other funds	2,095,671	4,057,377	-	-
Receivables:				
Tax Increment	216,036	75,422	63,205	-
Accounts	-	2,103	3,139	-
Interest	17,863	19,960	-	20,143
Loans	106,085	98,374	94,869	-
Advances to other funds	10,606,276	11,215,440	16,669,779	-
Prepaid Expenses	-	3,543	-	3,500
Land Held for Resale	7,769,942	7,769,942	7,769,942	6,973,000
Allowance for decline in value	(260,023)	(260,023)	(260,023)	-
Total Assets	\$ 45,269,876	\$ 49,447,506	\$ 50,519,582	\$ 37,575,366
Liabilities (modified accrual basis)				
Accounts Payable	\$ 212,220	\$ 204,439	\$ 131,015	\$ 75,023
Interest Payable	-	-	35,180	-
Compensated Absences	-	-	-	-
Deposits from others	16,208	15,738	15,738	-
Due to other funds	2,095,671	4,057,377	2,073,000	-
Due to other governments	7,377,897	6,854,042	6,003,123	9,080,290
Advances from other funds	10,606,276	11,215,440	16,669,779	-
Total Liabilities	20,308,272	22,347,036	24,927,835	9,155,313
Equity	24,961,604	27,100,470	25,591,747	28,420,053
Total Liabilities and Equity	\$ 45,269,876	\$ 49,447,506	\$ 50,519,582	\$ 37,575,366
Total Revenues:	\$ 12,497,316	\$ 11,928,207	\$ 6,346,154	\$ 786,705
Total Expenditures:	12,669,768	9,789,341	4,083,690	1,376,280
Total Transfers:	-	-	(3,771,187)	29,009,628
Net change in equity	(172,452)	2,138,866	(1,508,723)	28,420,053
Beginning Equity:	25,134,056	24,961,604	27,100,470	-

Procedure 4
 Summary of the Financial Transactions of Redevelopment Agency and Successor Agency
 All Funds
 Per schedule attached to List of Procedures for Due Diligence Review

	Redevelopment Agency 12 Months Ended 6/30/2010	Redevelopment Agency 12 Months Ended 6/30/2011	Redevelopment Agency 7 Months Ended 1/31/2012	Successor Agency 5 Months Ended 6/30/2012
Ending Equity:	\$ 24,961,604	\$ 27,100,470	\$ 25,591,747	\$ 28,420,053
Other Information (show year end balances for all four periods presented):				
Long-term debt as of end of year				
TABS-1998	\$ 25,940,000	\$ 24,345,000	\$ 22,645,000	\$ 22,665,000
TABS-1999	5,925,000	5,830,000	5,735,000	5,735,000
2004 LOW/MOD TABS	5,950,000	5,715,000	5,470,000	5,470,000
CIEDB LOAN	1,358,753	1,254,506	1,254,506	1,254,506
DDA's	2,032,723	2,175,013	2,175,013	2,327,264
DUE TO OTHER GOVT AGENCY	3,908,406	3,845,316	3,845,316	3,845,316
ASSESSMENTS PAYABLE	230,000	205,000	205,000	175,000
Total Long Term Debt	\$ 45,344,882	\$ 43,369,835	\$ 41,329,835	\$ 41,472,086

Procedure 5
 Listing of All Assets
 Other Redevelopment Agency Funds
 As of June 30, 2012

<u>Assets</u>		<u>Amount</u>
Cash		
871-1000-000	Cash in Banks	139,615
872-1000-000	Cash in Banks	229,340
882-1000-000	Cash in Banks	138,147
885-1000-000	Cash in Banks	2,870,331
886-1000-000	Cash in Banks	250,064
891-1000-000	Cash in Banks	304,120
893-1000-000	Cash in Banks	41,341
894-1000-000	Cash in Banks	166,648
895-1000-000	Cash in Banks	3,163,385
897-1000-000	Cash in Banks	11,726,621
899-1000-000	Cash in Banks	507,100
	TOTAL CASH:	\$ 19,536,712
Investments		
893-1150-000	Investments - CIRP	118,917
	TOTAL INVESTMENTS:	118,917
Cash with fiscal agent		
879-1090-000	Cash with Fiscal Agent	2,579
882-1090-000	Cash with Fiscal Agent	369,647
885-1090-000	Cash with Fiscal Agent	372,528
895-1090-000	Cash with Fiscal Agent	1,528,704
897-1090-000	Cash with Fiscal Agent	1,858,965
899-1090-000	Cash with Fiscal Agent	100,323
	TOTAL CASH WITH FISCAL AGENT:	4,232,746

Procedure 5
 Listing of All Assets
 Other Redevelopment Agency Funds
 As of June 30, 2012

<u>Assets</u>	<u>Amount</u>
Interest receivable	
871-1270-000	121
872-1270-000	112
882-1270-000	120
885-1270-000	2,417
886-1270-000	397
891-1270-000	250
893-1270-000	31
894-1270-000	145
895-1270-000	2,325
897-1270-000	9,860
899-1270-000	1,788
TOTAL INTEREST RECEIVABLE:	17,566
Advances to other funds	
877-1310-864	892,355
882-1310-864	4,743,621
TOTAL ADVANCES TO OTHER FUNDS:	5,635,976
Land Held for Resale	
879-1680-000	2,073,000
894-1680-000	4,900,000
TOTAL LAND HELD FOR RESALE:	6,973,000
Prepaid Expenses	
897-1990-000	3,500
TOTAL PREPAID EXPENSES	3,500
TOTAL ASSETS AT 6/30/2012:	\$ 36,518,417

Procedure 6
 Listing of Assets that are Restricted
 Other Redevelopment Agency Funds
 As of June 30, 2012

Item #	Description	Documentation Referenced	Amount	Purpose	Legal Documentation Obtained? (Y/N)
1	Cash with fiscal Agent				
a)	1998 A TABS -Reserve account	895-1090-000	\$ 1,123,281	Held in trust by fiduciary per bond restrictions	Y
b)	1999 B TABS -Reserve account	895-1090-000	405,423	Held in trust by fiduciary per bond restrictions	Y
c)	1998 A TABS -Reserve account	897-1090-000	757,320	Held in trust by fiduciary per bond restrictions	Y
d)	1998 B TABS -Reserve account	897-1090-000	281,218	Held in trust by fiduciary per bond restrictions	Y
e)	1998 B TABS -Escrow	897-1090-000	820,427	Held in trust by fiduciary per bond restrictions	Y
f)	1999 Redev Fd-West Valley	879-1090-000	2,320	Held in trust by fiduciary per bond restrictions	Y
g)	1999 Bond Fund -West Valley	879-1090-000	259	Held in trust by fiduciary per bond restrictions	Y
h)	1999 Redev fund - Mt Vernon	882-1090-000	369,647	Held in trust by fiduciary per bond restrictions	Y
i)	1999 Reserve Acct-Mt. Vernon	885-1090-000	372,528	Held in trust by fiduciary per bond restrictions	Y
j)	1999 reserve Acct-West Valley	899-1090-000	100,323	Held in trust by fiduciary per bond restrictions	Y
TOTAL:			\$ 4,232,746		

Procedure 7
 Listing of Assets That Are Not Liquid or Otherwise Available for Distribution
 Other Redevelopment Agency Funds
 As of June 30, 2012

Item #	Description	Reference	Amount	Value Method	Variance Noted? (Y/N)
1	Land Held for Resale				
	a) West Valley Project	Loan Agreement	\$ 2,073,000	Cost	N
	b) Cooley Ranch Project	Loan Agreement	4,900,000	Cost	N
2	Long-term receivable				
	a) Santa Ana CIP loan to Low/Mod	Loan Agreement	892,355	Cost	N
	b) Mt. Vernon CIP loan to Low/Mod	Loan Agreement	4,743,621	Cost	N
3	Prepaid Expenses				
	a) 1998 A&B USB Admin Fee	Fee Statement	3,500	Cost	N
TOTAL:			\$ 12,612,476		

Procedure 8a
 Listing of Assets (resources) that are dedicated or restricted for the funding of enforceable obligations
 Other Redevelopment Agency Funds
 As of June 30, 2012

Item #	Project Name	Reference	Approved Obligation Amount	Amount Paid in Period Ending June 30, 2012	Amount Restricted for Obligation for June 30, 2012 Balance	Legal Documentation Obtained? (Y/N)
1	1998 Tax Allocation Bonds Series A&B	Pg 1/ ROPS I #1	\$ 2,352,648	\$ -	\$ 2,352,648	Y
2	1999 Tax Allocation Bonds	Pg 1/ ROPS I #2	462,888	141,820	321,068	Y
3	CIEDB Loan Agreement	Pg 1/ ROPS I #5	128,679	-	128,679	Y
4	CIEDB Loan Agreement	Pg 1/ ROPS I #6	3,764	-	3,764	Y
5	Price Company DDA	Pg 1/ ROPS I #7	152,251	-	152,251	Y
6	TABS Arbitrage Fees	Pg 1/ ROPS I #14	3,750	-	3,750	Y
7	TABS Continuing Disclosure	Pg 1/ ROPS I #15	7,500	-	7,500	Y
8	Property Tax Analysis	Pg 1/ ROPS I #17	4,000	-	4,000	Y
9	TABS Administration Fees	Pg 1/ ROPS I #18	13,200	3,850	9,350	Y
10	Storage Costs	Pg 1/ ROPS I #20	104	103	1	Y
11	Contract for cellular phone	Pg 1/ ROPS I #24	54	46	7	Y
12	Participation/Cooperation Agreement	Pg 1/ ROPS I #27	135,000	71,781	63,219	Y
13	Redevelopment Agency	Pg 2/ ROPS I #1	44,889	35,133	9,756	Y
14	Habitat Conservation Plan	Pg 2/ ROPS I #7	3,163	-	3,163	Y
15	Redevelopment Agency	Pg 2/ ROPS I #11	13,171	-	13,171	Y
16	Rancho Mill Project Area	Pg 2/ ROPS I #21	75,000	-	75,000	Y
17	Mt. Vernon Project Area	Pg 2/ ROPS I #22	442,977	-	442,977	Y
18	Downtown 1 Project area	Pg 2/ ROPS I #23	50,000	-	50,000	Y
19	Downtown 2 Project area	Pg 2/ ROPS I #24	450,000	-	450,000	Y
20	West Valley Project area	Pg 2/ ROPS I #25	442,975	-	442,975	Y
21	Santa Ana River Project area	Pg 2/ ROPS I #26	442,975	-	442,975	Y
22	TABS administration	Pg 2/ ROPS I #28	3,000	2,715	285	Y
23	CIEDB administration	Pg 2/ ROPS I #29	1,000	875	125	Y
24	Property Tax analysis review	Pg 2/ ROPS I #33	2,500	1,478	1,022	Y
25	Dissolution of assets	Pg 2/ ROPS I #35	2,500	1,469	1,031	Y
26	Passthrough Agreements - Mt. Vernon DS	Pg 3/ ROPS 1 #1	231,032	109,478	121,554	Y
27	Passthrough Agreements - Mt. Vernon DS	Pg 3/ ROPS 1 #2	62,646	9,457	53,189	Y
28	Passthrough Agreements - Santa Ana River DS	Pg 3/ ROPS 1 #3	526,201	300,624	225,577	Y
29	Passthrough Agreements - Santa Ana River DS	Pg 3/ ROPS 1 #4	148,986	-	148,986	Y
30	Passthrough Agreements - West Valley DS	Pg 3/ ROPS 1 #6	199,889	171,874	28,015	Y
31	Passthrough Agreements - West Valley DS	Pg 3/ ROPS 1 #7	96,080	56,472	39,608	Y

Procedure 8a
 Listing of Assets (resources) that are dedicated or restricted for the funding of enforceable obligations
 Other Redevelopment Agency Funds
 As of June 30, 2012

Item #	Project Name	Reference	Approved Obligation Amount	Amount Paid in Period Ending June 30, 2012	Amount Restricted for Obligation for June 30, 2012 Balance	Legal Documentation Obtained? (Y/N)
32	Statutory Payments	Pg 3/ ROPS 1 #1	119,806	-	119,806	Y
33	Statutory Payments	Pg 3/ ROPS 1 #2	58,083	-	58,083	Y
34	Statutory Payments	Pg 3/ ROPS 1 #3	157,023	-	157,023	Y
35	Statutory Payments	Pg 3/ ROPS 1 #4	4,365	1,994	2,371	Y
36	Statutory Payments	Pg 3/ ROPS 1 #5	30	8	22	Y
37	Statutory Payments	Pg 3/ ROPS 1 #7	2,167	204	1,964	Y
38	Statutory Payments	Pg 3/ ROPS 1 #8	369	170	199	Y
39	Statutory Payments	Pg 3/ ROPS 1 #9	29,110	8,408	20,702	Y
40	Passthrough Agreements - Santa Ana River DS	Pg 3/ ROPS 1 #5.1	17,223	17,223	-	Y
41	Statutory Payments	Pg 3/ ROPS 1 #6.2	59,425	59,425	-	Y
			\$ 6,950,424	\$ 994,605	\$ 5,955,818	

Procedure 8b
 Listing of Assets (resources) that need to be retained due to insufficient funding for the funding of enforceable obligations
 Other Redevelopment Agency Funds
 As of June 30, 2012

Item #	Project Name	Reference	Approved Obligation Amount	Designated Amount Plus Estimated Future Revenues	Revenue Source	Amount Needed to be Retained from June 30, 2012 Balance	Legal Documentatio n Obtained? (Y/N)
1	Passthrough Agreements - Mt. Vernon DS	Pg 3/ ROPS 1 #1	\$ 6,589,770	\$ 2,164,489	Reserves	\$ 2,164,489	A
2	Passthrough Agreements - Santa Ana River DS	Pg 3/ ROPS 1 #3	10,673,112.00	4,913,841	Reserves	4,913,841	A
3	Passthrough Agreements - Santa Ana River DS	Pg 3/ ROPS 1 #4	1,942,375.00	894,259	Reserves	894,259	A
4	Passthrough Agreements - West Valley DS	Pg 3/ ROPS 1 #6	11,185,922.00	1,381,539	Reserves	1,381,539	A
5	Passthrough Agreements - West Valley DS	Pg 3/ ROPS 1 #7	1,058,246.00	494,506	Reserves	494,506	A
6	Passthrough Agreements - Santa Ana River DS	Pg 3/ ROPS 1 #5.1	17,223	2,764	Reserves	2,764	B
7	Statutory Payments	Pg 3/ ROPS 1 #6.2	56,425	168,314	Reserves	168,314	B
			<u>\$ 31,523,073</u>	<u>\$ 10,019,712</u>		<u>\$ 10,019,712</u>	

A. Past due pass-through. The County has stated it is not responsible for any prior pass-through amounts owed and will not be addressing these.

B. The amount to be retained is the excess over the approved amount but was paid in accordance with the statutes and pass-through agreement in effect at the time.

Procedure 8c
Listing of Assets (resources) that need to be retained due to projected insufficient property tax revenues for bond debt payments
Other Redevelopment Agency Funds
As of June 30, 2012

No assets need to be retained due to projected insufficient property tax revenues for bond debt payments.

Procedure 9
 Listing of Assets (resources) that need to be retained due to projected insufficient property tax revenues for future ROPS
 Other Redevelopment Agency Funds
 As of June 30, 2012


Item #	Project Name	Reference	Approved Obligation Amount	Estimated Future Revenues	Revenue Source	Amount Needed to be Retained from June 30, 2012 Balance	Identified on the ROPS 2 or 3?
1	Participation/Cooperation Agreement	ROPS II #6	\$ 1,914,996	\$ -	RPTTF	\$ 90,000	2
2	Price Company DDA	ROPS II #7	2,175,013	-	RPTTF	85,000	2
3	TABS Administration Fees	ROPS II #8	13,200	-	RPTTF	8,800	2
4	TABS Arbitrage Fees	ROPS II #9	12,500	-	RPTTF	10,000	2
5	East Cooley Drive (11.2 acres)	ROPS II #13	150,000	-	RPTTF	150,000	2
6	Appraisal Report	ROPS II #14	8,000	-	RPTTF	8,000	2
7	Title Report	ROPS II #15	2,000	-	RPTTF	2,000	2
8	Phase I Analysis	ROPS II #16	5,000	-	RPTTF	5,000	2
9	Escrow Closing Cost to Seller	ROPS II #17	10,000	-	RPTTF	10,000	2
10	Property Management	ROPS II #18	5,000	-	RPTTF	5,000	2
11	Brokerage Commission	ROPS II #19	146,362	-	RPTTF	146,362	2
12	For sale Marketing/Legal Notices	ROPS II #20	5,000	-	RPTTF	5,000	2
13	Legal Fees	ROPS II #21	50,000	-	RPTTF	50,000	2
14	101 Units Affordable Sr. Housing	ROPS II #22	2,250,000	-	RPTTF	250,000	2
15	6 Parcels - West Valley (22.2 acres)	ROPS II #23	150,000	-	RPTTF	150,000	2
16	Development Planning	ROPS II #24	100,000	-	RPTTF	100,000	2
17	Appraisal Report (6 reports @ \$8,000)	ROPS II #25	48,000	-	RPTTF	48,000	2
18	Title Report (6 report @ \$500)	ROPS II #26	3,000	-	RPTTF	3,000	2
19	Phase I Analysis (6 @ \$5,000)	ROPS II #27	30,000	-	RPTTF	30,000	2
20	Seller Closing Cost (6 @ \$10,000)	ROPS II #28	60,000	-	RPTTF	60,000	2
21	Property Maintenance (6 @ \$2,500)	ROPS II #29	15,000	-	RPTTF	15,000	2
22	Brokerage Commission	ROPS II #30	464,008	-	RPTTF	464,008	2
23	For sale Marketing/Legal Notices	ROPS II #31	5,000	-	RPTTF	5,000	2
24	Legal Fees	ROPS II #32	75,000	-	RPTTF	75,000	2
25	Admin Allowance	-	-	-	Admin	125,000	2
			\$ 7,697,079	\$ -		\$ 1,900,170	

Procedure 10

Summary of Other Redevelopment Agency Funds Available for Allocation to Affected Taxing Entities

ATTACHMENT B10

Total amount of assets held by the successor agency as of June 30, 2012 (procedure 5)	\$ 36,518,417
Add the amount of any assets transferred to the city or other parties for which an enforceable obligation with a third party requiring such transfer and obligating the use of the transferred assets did not exist (procedures 2 and 3)	-
	To City
	To other parties
Less assets legally restricted for uses specified by debt covenants, grant restrictions, or restrictions imposed by other governments (procedure 6)	(4,232,746)
Less assets that are not cash or cash equivalents (e.g., physical assets) - (procedure 7)	(12,612,476)
Less balances that are legally restricted for the funding of an enforceable obligation (net of projected annual revenues available to fund those obligations) - (procedure 8)	(15,975,530)
Less balances needed to satisfy ROPS for the 2012-13 fiscal year (procedure 9)	(1,900,170)
Less the amount of payments made on July 12, 2012 to the County Auditor-Controller as directed by the California Department of Finance	-
Amount to be remitted to county for disbursement to taxing entities	<u>\$ 1,797,495</u>



December 3, 2012

Lance, Soll & Lunghard, LLP
 Certified Public Accountants
 203 North Brea Boulevard, Suite 203
 Brea, CA 92821-4056

We are providing this letter in connection with your performance of the Due Diligence Review of the Other Redevelopment Agency Funds in accordance with Assembly Bill 1484 for the Successor Agency of the former Redevelopment Agency of the City of Colton. We confirm that we are responsible for the complete and fair presentation of the previously mentioned review in conformity with the listed procedures of the Assembly Bill 1484 Due Diligence Review as published by the State Department of Finance on August 27, 2012. We are also responsible for adopting sound accounting policies, establishing and maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and preventing and detecting fraud.

We confirm, to the best of our knowledge and belief, as of the date of this letter, the following representations made to you during your review:

1. We have made available to you:
 - a. In accordance with 34179.5(c)(1), the dollar value of all assets transferred from the former redevelopment agency to the successor agency on or about February 1, 2012.
 - b. In accordance with 34179.5(c)(2), the dollar value of all assets and cash and cash equivalents transferred after January 1, 2011, through June 30, 2012, by the redevelopment agency or the successor agency to the city, county, or city and county that formed the redevelopment agency and the purpose of each transfer. We have also provided the documentation of any enforceable obligation that required the transfer.
 - c. In accordance with 34179.5(c)(3), the dollar value of any cash or cash equivalents transferred after January 1, 2011, through June 30, 2012, by the redevelopment agency or the successor agency to any other public agency or private party and the purpose of each transfer. We have also provided documentation of any enforceable obligation that required the transfer.
 - d. In accordance with 34179.5(c)(4), the expenditure and revenue accounting information and have identified transfers and funding sources for the 2010-11 and 2011-12 fiscal years that reconciles balances, assets, and liabilities of the successor agency on June 30, 2012 to those reported to the Controller for the 2009-10 fiscal year.
 - e. In accordance with 34179.5(c)(5), a listing of all assets of the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund as of June 30, 2012 for the report that is due October 1, 2012 and a listing of all assets of all other funds of the Successor Agency as of June 30, 2012 (excluding the previously reported assets of the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund) for the report that is due December 15, 2012.

CIVIC CENTER
 650 N. La Cadena Drive
 Colton, CA 92324
 (909) 370-5099

- f. In accordance with 34179.5(c)(5)(B), an itemized statement listing any amounts that are legally restricted as to purpose and cannot be provided to taxing entities. This could include the proceeds of any bonds, grant funds, or funds provided by other governmental entities that place conditions on their use.
 - g. In accordance with 34179.5(c)(5)(C), an itemized statement of the values of any assets that are not cash or cash equivalents. This may include physical assets, land, records, and equipment. For the purpose of this accounting, physical assets may be valued at purchase cost or at any recently estimated market value.
 - h. In accordance with 34179.5(c)(5)(D), an itemized listing of any current balances that are legally or contractually dedicated or restricted for the funding of an enforceable obligation that identifies the nature of the dedication or restriction and the specific enforceable obligation. In addition, we have provided a listing of all approved enforceable obligations that includes a projection of annual spending requirements to satisfy each obligation and a projection of annual revenues available to fund those requirements.
 - i. In accordance with 34179.5(c)(5)(E), an itemized list and analysis of any amounts of current balances that are needed to satisfy obligations that will be placed on the Recognized Obligation Payment Schedules for the current fiscal year.
2. There are no material transactions that have not been properly recorded in the accounting records underlying this Due Diligence Review.
 3. Management is not aware of any transfers (as defined by Section 34179.5) from either the former Redevelopment Agency or the Successor Agency to the City, other agencies or private parties for the period January 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012 that have not been identified in this report and related exhibits.
 4. We acknowledge our responsibility for the design and implementation of programs and controls to prevent and detect fraud.
 5. We have no knowledge of any fraud or suspected fraud affecting this Due Diligence Review involving:
 - a. Management,
 - b. Employees who have significant roles in internal control, or
 - c. Others where the fraud could have a material effect on this Due Diligence Review.
 6. We have no knowledge of any allegations of fraud or suspected fraud affecting the entity received in communications from employees, former employees, analysts, regulators, or others.
 7. When applicable, we have taken timely and appropriate steps to remedy fraud, illegal acts, violations of provisions of contracts or grant agreements, or abuse that you have reported to us.
 8. We have identified to you any previous audits, attestation engagements, performance audits, state controller reports or other studies related to the objectives of this Due Diligence Review and whether related recommendations have been implemented.
 9. The Successor Agency of the former Redevelopment Agency of the City of Colton has no plans or intentions that may materially affect the carrying value or classification of assets, liabilities, or fund equity.


10. We are responsible for compliance with the laws, regulations, provisions of contracts and grant agreements applicable to us, and all provisions related to the dissolution of the Redevelopment Agency in accordance with AB 1X 26 and AB 1484.

11. There are no known violations of:

- a. Laws and regulations,
- b. Provisions of contracts and grant agreements,
- c. Provisions related to the dissolution of the Redevelopment Agency in AB 1X 26 and AB 1484 whose effects should be considered for disclosure in this Due Diligence Review.

12. All bank accounts and investments associated with this review have been properly reflected in the general ledger accounting records.

13. No events, including instances of noncompliance, have occurred subsequent to the performance of this Due Diligence Review and through the date of this letter that would require adjustment to or disclosure in the aforementioned Due Diligence Review.

Signed:  Signed: _____
Title: Management Services Director _____